

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,653	12/13/2006	Hiroyuki Saimoto	5703-000014/US/NP	2294
27572 HARNESS D	7590 06/10/200/ ICKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			CHANDRAKUMAR, NIZAL S	
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303	I	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,653	SAIMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NIZAL S. CHANDRAKUMAR	1625	

	THE IE O. OF BUILDING MONDER	1020					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
□ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 		l ain a an aine nilfe in a sh	a lancan far				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an ex	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2-5 and 7-11.							
Claim(s) rejected to: 25 and 7-77.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Janet L. Andres/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625							

Continuation of 13. Other: Upon further consideration previously presented rejection under USC 103 (obviousness) is withdrawn in view of applicants persuasive remarks. The rejection under 112-2 (vague and indefinitel is maintained because, in spite of applicants amendments, the full scope of the formulae of claims I and 6 is unclear. As presented it is not definitel whether the structures on page 9 of 17 are possible substituents for the base formula. Identical compounds (or structural fragments) in claim 1, claim 5, and claim 6 are listed under different headings; the representation of aldehyde moiety in different structures is inconsistent..